

A Study on the Effect of the Clearance on the Contact Stress and Kinematics of Polymeric Composite Journal Bearings

A. Rezaei¹, W. Ost², W. Van Paepegem¹, P. De Baets², J. Degrieck¹

¹Ghent University, Dept. of Materials Science and Engineering, Sint-Pietersnieuwstraat 41, 9000 Gent, Belgium

²Ghent University, Dept. of Mechanical Construction and Production, Sint-Pietersnieuwstraat 41, 9000 Gent, Belgium
ali.rezaei@ugent.be

In this article the effect of the clearance on the contact stress and kinematics of a polymeric composite journal bearing is investigated. To this purpose a test rig is devised, which is capable to measure the tribological characteristics of the large-scale composite bearings. The kinematics of the setup is simulated by a 2D FEM model. Moreover, to evaluate the contact area between the bearing and the shaft, pressure indicator films are used and a simplified 3D model is provided. Simulation results correspond closely to the experimental data, and it is shown that the clearance variation can have a big impact on the contact stress distribution.

Key words: Polymeric Composite Bearing, Clearance, FEM

1. INTRODUCTION

The area of tribology deals with the design, friction, wear, and lubrication of interacting surfaces in relative motion. In recent years polymeric composite materials have been used increasingly for such tribological applications. Yet, by now, much of the knowledge on their tribological behavior is often empirical, and very limited predictive capability currently exists [1]. Here, the effect of the clearance, between the bearing and the shaft, on the contact stress and kinematics of a polymeric composite journal bearing is numerically investigated. Contact problems are very sensitive to minor profile changes in the contact bodies [2]. In addition, dimensional control methods for

composites are mainly based on trial and error approaches [3], and tolerance control is not as good as in metal production. Hence, choosing a right clearance for the composite bearing will be very important.

There are some relevant studies about the influence of the designing parameters on the journal bearings application. For example Papadopoulos and Nikolakopoulos have studied the effect of the bearing's wear on the clearance and stability of a metallic rotor journal bearing [3]. Parli Pedersen has studied the influence of the clearance in composite orthotropic disc-pin contact in a fastener assembly. He concluded that HERTZ analytical formula is a useful tool in the investigation of the pressure distribution in the composite pin-disc contact analysis, but it can not give detailed information [4].

In this research, a large-scale test setup has been devised to determine the tribological behavior of polymeric composite journal bearings subjected to a reciprocating angular movement.

The kinematics of the test setup is simulated with a two-dimensional plane-strain model and validated with the experimental measurements. Besides that, the area of the contact is simulated by a simplified 3D model and evaluated by the experiments with pressure indicator films.

Based on these verifications, the 2D finite element model is extended to investigate the effect of the clearance between the composite bearing and the shaft on the operation of the setup and contact stress distribution in the bearing.

2. TEST SETUP

In order to make an appropriate and validated finite element model, proper and accurate experiments are essential. In this article the finite element model is validated with the experimental data extracted by a test rig, which is designed to determine the tribological behavior of large-scale journal bearings subjected to a reciprocating angular movement. Figure 1 shows the test rig and its cross-sectional view.




Figure 1. Large-scale test setup

This apparatus has been designed to test composite bearings with inner diameter of about 300 millimeters. The loading conditions, rotation speed, and rotation angle can be changed by user at any time during the test. The friction torque is determined by measuring the force acting on a lever arm connected to the bushing. The tests are driven by a closed-loop servo-hydraulic system. All measuring signals are registered continuously and digitally by the means of a data acquisition card. This apparatus provides measurement of the normal and friction force between the bearing and shaft, bearing's temperature during the application, and wear rate of the bearing's surface. The test is started by applying the vertical force on the housing by the hydraulic actuator, and then the drive piston starts to reciprocate and provides the rotational oscillation to the shaft.

Figure 2 depicts a schematic view of the loading and kinematics of the test rig. The parameters of the figure are; F_P : loading actuator force, F_L : force on the load-cell, F_F : friction force between the composite bearing and shaft, F_N : normal force on composite bearing, R_S : shaft radius, R_b : bearing radius, R_L : distance between the action points of F_P and F_L , and α : rolling angle.




Figure 2. Schematics of the acting forces and kinematics of the setup. a: Acting forces, b: Kinematics

During the test F_p is assumed to be constant, and vertical. Since the displacement of the bushing remains small, the force in the load cell F_L can also be considered vertical. Considering the Coulomb law [5], the coefficient of friction is the ratio of the tangential and normal reaction force components. Here by considering the equilibrium equations, the friction coefficient will be:

$$\mu = \tan \alpha = \frac{1}{\left[\left(\frac{R_b}{R_L} \right)^2 \left(\frac{F_p + F_L}{F_L} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (1)$$

If the elastic deformation of the load cell and the clearances of its both sides' connections are ignored, the kinematics of the shaft rolling in the bearing can be expressed as [6]:

$$\left(\frac{d\beta}{dt} - \frac{d\theta}{dt} \right) / \left(\frac{d\phi}{dt} - \frac{d\theta}{dt} \right) = \frac{R_s}{R_b} \quad (2)$$

3. 2D FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

The test setup is simulated as a simplified two-dimensional quasi-static plane strain model. The shaft is modeled by Mixed Lagrangian-Eulerian method, in which the mesh can have a motion independent of material deformation. Therefore, the motion of the mesh can be designed in accordance with the nature of deformation, and thus mesh distortion is avoided on one hand, and the boundaries are updated on the other hand [7]. Figure 3 depicts the boundary conditions and meshing of the two-dimensional plane strain model for the test rig.

It is assumed that the friction coefficient decays exponentially from the static value to the dynamic value according to the formula:

$$\mu = \mu_D + (\mu_S - \mu_D) e^{-d_c \dot{\gamma}_{eq}} \quad (3)$$

Where μ_D is the dynamic friction coefficient, μ_S is the static friction coefficient, d_c is a user-defined decay coefficient, and $\dot{\gamma}_{eq}$ is the slip rate [8]. Based on the experimental data, the parameters of the equation are defined and then the friction coefficient will be calculated correlated to the slip rate.




Figure 3. 2D finite element model

The test bearing is a composite with a phenolic resin, polyester reinforcing fibers, and PTFE filler for internal lubrication. This bearing is an orthotropic material with the engineering constants shown in table 1.

Table 1. Engineering constants of the composite bearing, r: Radial coordinate, t: Tangential coordinate, z: Axial coordinate

E_{rr}	2.75 GPa	G_{rt}	1.00 GPa	ν_{rt}	0.165
E_{tt}	10.00 GPa	G_{tz}	4.00 GPa	ν_{tz}	0.250
E_{zz}	10.00 GPa	G_{rz}	1.00 GPa	ν_{rz}	0.068

4. VERIFICATION OF THE 2D MODEL WITH EXPERIMENTS

The tests were performed on a composite bearing under the conditions shown in table 2:

Table 2. Test conditions

Bearing diameter:	300 (mm)
Bearing thickness	25 (mm)
Normal load by loading actuator	100 (kN)
Driving piston amplitude	5 (mm)
Driving piston frequency	0.5 (Hz)
Clearance between shaft and bearing	1.1 (mm)
Clearance between the load cell pins and correlated bushing	0.1 (mm)

Figure 4 shows the experimental results for the coefficient of friction between the composite bearing and the shaft.




Figure 4. Measured values of the drive piston's displacement and calculated values of the coefficient of friction between the composite bearing and the shaft

From the experimental data the parameters of equation 3 are defined. For the selected bearing, the static coefficient of friction is 0.145, the dynamic coefficient of friction for the infinite slip rate is 0.115, and the user-defined coefficient based on the experimental information is 1000. Figure 5 compares the extracted friction force (FF) from the experimental measurements and numerical simulations.




Figure 5. Friction force between the composite bearing and shaft. FF: friction force, DISP: displacement of driving piston. a: experimental results, b: simulation results

Due to the static coefficient of friction at the start of each cycle the friction force graph shows a spike, and when sliding occurs, it decreases. It is obvious that when the direction of the rotation

changes, the direction of the friction force also changes. These figures show that there is a very good agreement between numerical and experimental results. At the start of each cycle when rolling contact occurs, the friction force rises till 14.5 kN and then it decreases to 11.5 kN in the sliding condition.

Figure 6 shows that the simulation results of the horizontal displacement of the bushing precisely correspond with the test results. At the moment that the shaft motion tends to overcome the static friction force, the bearing sticks to the shaft. At this moment the bushing system moves forward or backward depending on the direction of rotation. Once the contact condition changes from rolling to sliding, the bearing slides back and the shaft slides against the bearing in a fixed position. The horizontal displacement of the bushing varies between +0.1 and -0.1 mm.




Figure 6. Horizontal displacement of the bushing, H. DISP: Horizontal displacement of bushing, DISP: displacement of driving piston. a: experimental results, b: simulation results

In the second stage, it was necessary to verify the accuracy of the simulation results for the contacting area. To this purpose, a pressure indicator film was employed. This pressure indicator film reveals the distribution and magnitude of pressure between two contacting,

mating or impacting surfaces. The pressure indicating sensor film is sufficiently thin (0.2 mm) which enables it to conform to curved surfaces. It is suitable for tight spaces not accessible to conventional electronic transducers.

The film is a mylar based film that contains a layer of tiny microcapsules. The application of force upon the film causes the microcapsules to rupture, producing an instantaneous and permanent high resolution "topographical" image of pressure variation across the contact area [9].

By placing the film between two contacting bodies and applying and removing pressure, the film reveals the pressure distribution profile that occurred between the two surfaces. Conceptually similar to Litmus paper, the color intensity of the film is directly related to the amount of pressure applied to it. The greater the pressure, the more intense the color.

As told, in this test the pressure indicator film is used only to verify the area of the contact zone. Because each type of films can indicate a certain interval of pressure, five different films are used to explore the entire range of the contact pressure between the composite bearing and the shaft. Based on the pressure level that each film can indicate, they are called zero film, ultra low film, super low film, low film, and medium film. The films are cut to strips with the width of 13 mm, and then are assembled parallel to each other. The final assembly has a width of 70 mm, which includes the spaces between the strips.

Figure 7 shows the test procedure, and table 3 depicts the details of the test conditions and the characteristics of the pressure indicator films.




Figure 7. Implementing of the pressure indicator films between the bearing and the shaft

Table 3. test conditions and different ranges that films can indicate

Test conditions	
Bearing diameter	303.8 mm
Bearing width	120 mm
Shaft diameter	300 mm
Clearance	3.8 mm
Vertical load	320 kN
Pressure indicator films	
Film name	Indicating Pressure level
Zero	0.05-.2 MPa
Ultra low	0.2-0.2 MPa
Super low	0.5-2.5 MPa
Low	2.5-10.0 MPa
medium	10.0-50.0 MPa

Since the deformation of the bushing and shaft is negligible and here the evaluation of the contact stress distribution is the main objective, this experiment is simulated by a simplified 3D finite element model shown in figure 8. This model includes a rigid shaft, rigid bushing, deformable composite bearing, and a deformable pressure indicator film. The small free spaces between the pressure indicator films are not applied in the model and the pressure sensor is simulated as a single part with 70 mm width. The vertical load is applied on the bushing and the shaft is fixed.




Figure 8. 3D FEM model. a: components, b: meshing

Figures 9 and 10 respectively show the experimental and numerical results for the contact stress distribution on the pressure indicating film. The maximum length of the colored area on the pressure sensor film is about 173 mm, which is initiated on the zero film. This value is 170 mm for the simulation results. Comparing these results gives an error of about 1.7 percent. Considering the scale of the test setup and parameters like geometrical tolerances, dimensional tolerances, loading misalignments, and other parameters this error is quite insignificant and results are in a good agreement.




Figure 9. Experimental results of contact area




Figure 10. Simulation results for contact area

5. INFLUENCE OF THE CLEARANCE ON THE CONTACT STRESS AND KINEMATICS OF THE SETP

As seen in the previous section, the simulation results closely correspond to the experimental data. Based on these verifications, the finite element model is extended to investigate the effect of the clearance (play) between the composite bearing and the shaft on the kinematics of the setup and contact stresses on the bearing. The

analyses were performed for the clearance range between 0.1 mm and 4.1 mm, with a step size of 0.4 mm. Even though the lower and upper bounds for the clearance are not practical choices, they are studied to evaluate the ratio of the clearance impact.

Figure 11 shows the effect of the clearance size on the friction force. As shown, the clearance magnitude has not significant effect on the momentum of the friction force. By increasing the clearance from 0.1 to 4.1 mm, the friction force decreases about 17 N, which is about 0.1 percent.




Figure 11. Effect of the clearance on the friction force

While the magnitude of the friction force is not highly influenced by the clearance, figures 12 and 13 show that the frictional shear stress on the bearing is highly dependent on the clearance size. These figures show the distribution of the shear stress in the sliding condition while the shaft rotates in clockwise and counterclockwise directions.




Figure 12. Effect of the clearance on the frictional shear stress (clockwise rotation of the shaft)




Figure 13. Effect of the clearance on the frictional shear stress (counterclockwise rotation of the shaft)

By increasing the clearance size, the stress level increases and the contact area decreases. The effect of the clearance is more noticeable for the tighter clearances. By changing the clearance from 0.1 to 0.5, the maximum value of the shear stress increases about 0.26 MPa. Then, by increasing the clearance from 0.5 to 0.9, the maximum shear stress increase about 0.14 MPa. This value is about 0.1 MPa when the clearance changes from 0.9 to 1.3 mm.

As expected, the normal pressure on the bearing shows the same behavior as the frictional shear stress. Figures 14, 15, and 16 respectively show the normal pressure on the bearing surface after loading, clockwise rotation of the shaft rotation, and counterclockwise rotation of the shaft. By changing the clearance from 0.1 mm to 4.1 mm, the maximum pressure changes about 8.2 MPa.




Figure 14. Effect of the clearance on the normal stress after loading




Figure 15. Effect of the clearance on the normal stress (clockwise rotation of shaft)




Figure 16. Effect of the clearance on the normal stress (counterclockwise rotation of shaft)

Figure 17 shows the variation of the rolling and sliding angles by changing the clearance size. The clearance has a nonlinear effect on the rolling and sliding angles, like previous parameters. The major effect is about 2 degrees for the play from 0.1 to 0.5. If the clearance becomes bigger than 1.3, the rolling angle does not change noticeably.




Figure 17. Effect of the clearance on the rolling and sliding angle

Unlike the other parameters, the horizontal displacement of the busing is linearly dependent to the clearance size. In figure 18 it is seen that

horizontal motion of the bushing increases about 0.023 mm by adding 0.4 mm to the clearance.




Figure 18. Effect of the clearance on the horizontal displacement of the bushing

6. CONCLUSION

The application of a large scale polymeric composite journal bearing under reciprocating angular movement has been studied.

This study includes two major steps. At first, a polyester based composite bearing has been tested under 100 kN load. Besides the experimental investigations, a 2D finite element model has been built to evaluate the kinematics of the setup and contact stresses on the bearing. The bearing has been simulated as an orthotropic material, and the static and dynamic friction conditions have been applied through an exponential function. Simulation results are in a very good agreement with the experimental outputs, and show that the combination of Lagrange and Euler formulations is a very convenient tool to simulate journal bearing applications.

In the second step, a test has been planned to study the contact area between the bearing and the shaft. An arrangement of pressure indicator films has been used to measure the contact area. This test has also been simulated with a simplified 3D FEM model. The contact area obtained from the experiment and simulation, closely correspond and show that the FEM modeling results are highly reliable.

Based on these verifications, the 2D FEM model is extended to investigate the effect of the clearance on the kinematics of the setup and contact stresses on the bearing.

The results show that the contact stress distribution is highly influenced by minor variation of the clearance, when the clearance is nominally small.

Considering that the maximum contact stress is highly dependent on the clearance size and failure of the bearing is dependent on the stress level, it is very important to choose an appropriate clearance for composite journal bearings.

7. REFERENCES

1. Friedrich, K. and Schlarb, A., **Tribology of Polymeric Nanocomposites**, first ed, 2008, Elsevier. 551.
2. Barber, J.R. and Ciavarella, M., **Contact Mechanics. International Journal of Solids and Structures**, 2000, 37(1-2), p. 29-43.
3. Papadopoulos, C.A., Nikolakopoulos, P.G. and Gounaris, G.D, **Identification of Clearances and Stability Analysis for a Rotor-Journal Bearing System. Mechanism and Machine Theory**, 2008, 43(4), p. 411-426.
4. Pedersen, P., **On the Influence of Clearance in Orthotropic Disc-Pin Contacts, Composite Structures**, 2007. 79(4), p. 554-561.
5. Persson, B.N.J., **Sliding Friction: Physical Principles and Applications**. 1998, Springer, p. 13.
6. Van Wittenberghe, J., et al., **Test Setup for Friction Force Measurements of Large-Scale Composite Bearings. Experimental Techniques**, 2009, 33(1), p. 45-50.
7. Ted Belytschko, W.K.L., Moran, N., **Nonlinear Finite Element for Continua and Structures**. 2000, John Wiley & Sons, p 393-443.
8. Oden, J.T. and Martins, J.A.C., **Models and Computational Methods for Dynamic friction Phenomena**, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 1985. 52(1-3), p. 528-634.
9. <http://sensorprod.com/pressurex.php>, Tactile Pressure Indicating Sensor Film